Modelling the ensemble variability of AGN: Constraints on the Black Hole Mass vs Stellar Mass Relation

Antonis Georgakakis (National Observatory of Athens) I. Papadakis (University Crete) M. Paolillo (University of Napoli)

Ensemble Variability of AGN populations: The 7Ms Chandra Deep Field South Field





https://people.na.infn.it/~paolillo/CDFS.html

AGN Demographics

Incidence of active black holes in galaxies



AGN Variability

Stochastic flux variations, ensemble variability of AGN populations



AGN Demographics

Incidence of active black holes in galaxies









AGN Variability

Stochastic flux variations, ensemble variability of AGN populations



AGN Demographics

Incidence of active black holes in galaxies

AGN Variability

Stochastic flux variations, ensemble variability of AGN populations



Modeling the ensemble variability of AGN vs X-ray luminosity in the CDFS



Ensemble variance: observations vs model predictions



1. Observations favour PSD models with variable amplitude that depends on the physical properties of the AGN, e.g. $\lambda_{Edd.}$.

2. Model predictions sensitive to $M_{\rm BH}$ - $M_{\rm star}$ relation.

Ensemble variance can provide constraints on both PSD models and $M_{\rm BH}$ - $M_{\rm star}$ parametrisations.

Bayesian inference modeling of the ensemble variability of AGN vs X-ray luminosity in the CDFS







Results consistent with $M_{\rm BH}$ - $M_{\rm star}$ relations derived using dynamical masse

Conclusions and future prospects

- The ensemble variance of AGN provides a handle on both the M_{BH} M_{star} relation and models of the variability of AGN.
- Main limitations:
 - small size of current samples
 - systematics
- eROSITA provides light curves for millions of AGN with 0.5yr cadence over 2.5 years.
 - Study ensemble variance as a function of L_x , M_{star} , z.
 - Explore $M_{\rm BH}$ $M_{\rm star}$ relations as a function of redshift.
 - Constrain PSD models.
 - Requires control over systematics (via simulations).





