Can the simplest generalizations of the null inertial mass density () alleviate the $H_{\rm 0}$ tension?

NIHAN KATIRCI, Doğuş University







IN COLLABORATION WITH ACQUAVIVA, AKARSU AND VAZQUEZ

PRD 104 023505, 2104.02623

PHYS.DARK UNIV. 38 101128 2203.01234



CosmoVerse@Lisbon Conference, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa 30 May-1 June 2023

Legs of $\bigwedge CDM$



General relativity extrapolate Einstein's equations to scales above app. 100 Mpc

General relativity

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0 \to \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Matter content -Standard model of particle physics

Cosmological Principle -Universe's geometry and topology are as symmetric as possible

Hubble tension



the co-moving sound horizon at CMB last scattering the pre-recombination Universe $z_{\star} \approx 1100$ $r_* = \int_{z_*}^{\infty} c_{\rm s} H^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}z_{\rm s}$ $D_M(z_*) = c \int_0^{z_*} H^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}z$ sound wave amplitude SLS photons are $D_M(z_*) = r_*/\theta_*$ scattered KNOX, MILLEA, PHYS. REV. D 101, 043533 2020, 1908.03663. photons The acoustic angular scale on the sky, θ_* , are free which is measured almost model independently with a precision of 0.03% determines the comoving angular diameter distance to last scattering DM (z*) through the observer relation DM (z_*) = r_*/θ_* . AUBOURG ET AL. (BOSS COLLAB.) PRD 92, 123516, 1411.1074

SAHNI, SHAFIELOO, STAROBINSKY PRD 92, 123516, 1406.2209

DE energy density that attains negative values at high redshifts can enhance H(z) at low redshifts, H0 even further.
AKARSU ET.AL. , EUR. PHYS. J. C 80 (2020) 1050, 2004.04074

Model-independent reconstruction of the Interacting Dark Energy Kernel - a sign change in the direction of the energy transfer between DE and DM ESCAMILLA ET.AL. 2305.16290

- Early dark energy (EDE)
- Interacting dark energy (IDE) models,
- Phenomenologically Emergent Dark Energy
- Extra relativistic degrees of freedom at recombination, parametrized by Neff
- Sterile neutrinos, Goldstone bosons, axions, and neutrino asymmetry are typical examples to enhance the value of Neff
- Modified recombination and reionization histories through heating processes, variation of fundamental constants, or a non-standard CMB temperature-redshift relation
- Modified Gravity models
- ▶ Graduated dark energy models
- Decaying dark matter & interacting neutrinos
- a dynamical dark energy that assumes negative or vanishing density values at high redshifts

COSMOLOGY INTERTWINED , J. HIGH EN. ASTROPHYS. 2204, 002 (2022)



Inertial mass density $\varrho = \rho + p$

The EMT can be decomposed relative to
$$u_{\mu}$$
, in the form
 $\nabla_{\nu} u_{\mu} = D_{\nu} u_{\mu} - \dot{u}_{\mu} u_{\nu}$
 $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p g_{\mu\nu}$
 $D_{\nu} u_{\mu} = \frac{1}{3} \Theta h_{\mu\nu}$

 $\Theta = D^{\mu} u_{\mu}$

Einstein field equations arises from the twice contracted Bianchi Identity implying $u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = -1$ $\nabla_{\nu}u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 0$

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0 \to \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Projecting parallel and orthogonal to u_{μ} , we obtain energy and momentum conservation equations,

$$\dot{\rho} + \Theta \varrho = 0 \qquad \qquad D^{\mu} p + (\rho + p) \dot{u}^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \varrho = \rho + p$$

Graduated dark energy – a spontaneous sign switch in Λ AKARSU, BARROW, ESCAMILLA, VAZQUEZ, PRD, 101 063528 1912.08751

$$\varrho \propto \rho^{\lambda} < 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda < 1$$

its energy density *p* dynamically takes negative values in the finite past.

For large negative values of λ , it creates a phenomenological model described by a smooth function that approximately describes the Λ spontaneously switching sign in the late universe to become positive today.

the latest combined observational data sets of PLK+BAO+SN+H

AsCDM model, AKARSU, KUMAR, ÖZÜLKER, VAZQUEZ, 2108.09239
$$\frac{H^2}{H_0^2} = \Omega_{\rm r0}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_{\rm m0}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda_{\rm s}0} {\rm sgn}[z_{\dagger}-z],$$









Observational analysis - (BAO+SN+H)



Dataset	BAO+SN+H				
	$\Lambda \mathbf{CDM}$	$o\Lambda \mathbf{CDM}$	DE	oDE	
$\Omega_{\rm m0}$	0.307 ± 0.014	0.310 ± 0.020	0.304 ± 0.015	0.322 ± 0.022	
$\Omega_{b0}h_0^2$	0.02204 ± 0.00047	0.02204 ± 0.00046	0.02204 ± 0.00047	0.02204 ± 0.00045	
h_0	0.6827 ± 0.0088	0.6862 ± 0.0268	0.6706 ± 0.0202	0.6884 ± 0.0260	
$w_{ m ci0}$	-1	-1	-0.937 ± 0.084	-0.872 ± 0.097	
Ω_{k0}		-0.011 ± 0.077	_	-0.122 ± 0.117	
$\varrho_{\rm ci}\times 10^{31}~[\rm gcm^{-3}]$	0	0	3.46 ± 4.76	7.65 ± 5.72	
$\Omega_{\rm ci0}$	0.693 ± 0.014	0.700 ± 0.064	0.696 ± 0.015	0.800 ± 0.101	
$\Omega_{k { m ci0}}$		0.690 ± 0.020		0.678 ± 0.022	
$z_{\rm ci*}$			$<-0.96~{\rm or}\gtrsim10^7$	< -0.78	
z_{kci*} (z_{kcc*})		> 1.26		> 0.92	
$-2\ln \mathcal{L}_{\rm max}$	58.97	58.96	58.28	56.91	
$\ln \mathcal{Z}$	-36.54 ± 0.19	-38.38 ± 0.21	-37.96 ± 0.21	-38.00 ± 0.21	
$\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$	0	-1.84 ± 0.28	-1.42 ± 0.28	-1.46 ± 0.28	

- The oDE model, having the lowest -2 ln Lmax value, but the Bayesian evidence on the other hand suggests that there is a significant evidence for preferring the ΛCDM model over the extended models, as for which |Δ ln Zl ~ 1.5.
- □ Contrary to our initial expectations, the simple-gDE worsens the so-called H0 tension. The reason is being that the data favor $Qci = (3.46 \pm 4.76) \times 10^{-31} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (wci0 = -0.937 ± 0.084) rather than a definitely negative inertial mass destiny.

Simple MC code [1411.1074]

https://github.com/slosar/april, version May 2019.

- There is no evidence to prefer the oACDM model, which yields $\Omega_{k0} = -0.011 \pm 0.077$ consistent with spatially flat Universe, over the oDE model, which yields $\Omega_{k0} = -0.122 \pm 0.117$ suggesting spatially closed Universe with high significance.
- ✓ So, the inclusion of spatial curvature however lifts
 H₀ to the values larger than those allowed within the
 ∧CDM model with
- \mathbf{V} the negative correlation between Ω_{k0} and w_{ci0} .

In both models, this happens because of the closed space ($\Omega_{k0} < 0$), whereas the simple-gDE opposes it—notice that the energy density of the simple-gDE never crosses below zero in the past, but in the far future ($z_{ci*} < -0.78$).

Observational analysis - (BAO+SN+H+PLK)



Dataset	BAO+SN+H+PLK					
	ΛCDM	$o\Lambda CDM$	DE	oDE		
$\Omega_{\rm m0}$	0.3005 ± 0.0068	0.3009 ± 0.0067	0.3070 ± 0.0088	0.3071 ± 0.0091		
$\Omega_{b0}h_0^2$	0.02245 ± 0.00015	0.02237 ± 0.00017	0.02242 ± 0.00015	0.02241 ± 0.00017		
h_0	0.6829 ± 0.0052	0.6849 ± 0.0067	0.6772 ± 0.0097	0.6773 ± 0.0099		
$w_{ m ci0}$	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	-0.948 ± 0.041	-0.951 ± 0.045		
Ω_{k0}		0.0012 ± 0.0018		-0.0001 ± 0.0019		
$\rho_{\rm ci} \times 10^{31} \; [{\rm g cm^{-3}}]$	0	0	3.06 ± 2.28	2.85 ± 2.58		
$\Omega_{\rm ci0}$	0.6994 ± 0.0068	0.6977 ± 0.0065	0.6929 ± 0.0088	0.6929 ± 0.0095		
Ω_{kci0}		0.6991 ± 0.0067		0.6928 ± 0.0091		
$z_{ m ci*}$			< -0.99	< -0.99		
$z_{kci*}(z_{kcc*})$		> 9.62	_	> 6.64		
$-2\ln \mathcal{L}_{\max}$	60.46	59.27	58.24	58.24		
$\ln \mathcal{Z}$	-42.02 ± 0.26	-43.78 ± 0.26	-42.19 ± 0.25	-44.13 ± 0.27		
$\Delta \ln Z$	0	-1.76 ± 0.37	-0.17 ± 0.36	-2.11 ± 0.37		

The joint data set, including the Planck data, presents no evidence for a deviation from spatial flatness, but almost **the same evidence** for a cosmological constant and the simple-gDE with an inertial mass density of order $O(10^{-12})eV^4$.

Simple MC code [1411.1074] https://github.com/slosar/april, version May 2019.

Interplay between H_0 , ρ and Ω_{k0}



The joint data set, including the Planck data, presents no evidence for a deviation from spatial flatness, but almost **the same evidence** for a cosmological constant and the simple-gDE with an inertial mass density of order $O(10^{-12})eV^4$.

Vacuum inertial mass density may be a constant of nature, rather than vacuum energy density

Dynamical analysis - asymptotic behaviour of the models - (BAO+SN+H)



Two distinct futures depending on the sign of inertial mass density, rather than de Sitter future of ACDM model.

For spatially flat simple gDE case (DE) constrained without PLK allows $\varrho < 0$.

Dynamical analysis - asymptotic behaviour of the models (BAO+SN+H+PLK)



Recollapsing of the Universe in finite future is a generic behavior of simple gDE models as $\rho > 0$ within 68% CL independent of whether the PLK data is included or not.

Suggestions to address this tension by reanalyzing the cosmological data by breaking down of the RW framework

e.g., allowing anisotropic expansion in the late universe; suggesting [Colin 2017, 2019, Secrest:2020has,Krishnan 2021,Luongo 2021]

Anisotropic Hubble Expansion in Pantheon+ Supernovae, arXiv:2304.02718, they are saying that H_0 is larger in a hemisphere encompassing the CMB dipole direction. They are looking for dipole, what happens for quadrupole?

K:MIGKAS et al. Astron. Astrophys. 2004.03305 AKRAMI [PLANCK COLL.] A&A 641, A7 (2020), 2212.13569 WILCZYNSKA et. Al. Sci.Adv. 6 (2020) 17, 2003.07627

Zwicky Transition Facility SNe Ia sample test the isotropy of the expansion rate, i.e. the Hubble constant H0, in the nearby Universe and it shows some indications for potential deviations from isotropy and forecasts suggest the exciting possibility to strongly confirm or refute this claim.

Potential signature of a quadrupolar Hubble expansion in Pantheon+ supernovae, COWELL, DHAWAN, MACPHERSON, 2212.13569

Scalar field emulator via deformed vacuum energy: Application to dark energy

Deformed vacuum energy [Akarsu, Katırcı, Sen, Vazquez, 2004.14863] generalization of the usual VE: by allowing anisotropic pressure whilst preserving zero inertial mass density on average

modified theories may contribute as like anisotropic source. A comment: Faraoni&Cote (2018) 1808.02427, Akarsu et al. (2020) 1903.06679 $D_
u u_\mu = rac{1}{3} \Theta h_{\mu
u} + \sigma_{\mu
u}$

GR with anisotropy + a fluid still has null imd

GEOMETRY : LRS Bianchi type-I metric described by the line element $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + S^{2} \left[e^{\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}\varphi} dx^{2} + e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\varphi} (dy^{2} + dz^{2}) \right]$ shear scalar is squared of the time derivative of spatial metric. $\pi_{2}^{2} - \pi_{1}^{1} = \gamma$ $\sigma^{2} = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \dot{\varphi}^{2}$ **MATTER :** anisotropic extension of vacuum energy $\varrho_{x} = \rho + p_{iso} + \pi_{1}^{1}$ $\pi_{2}^{2} - \pi_{1}^{1} = \gamma\rho$ $H = \frac{\dot{s}}{s} = \frac{H_{x} + 2H_{y}}{3} \text{ and } \sigma^{2} = \frac{3}{2}(H_{x} - H)^{2}.$ $\rho_{y(z)} = p_{x} + \gamma\rho$

$$\begin{split} \varrho_{y} &= \varrho_{z} = \rho + \rho_{1\text{so}} + \pi_{2} \quad \text{period} \quad \text{particular} \\ \rho &= \frac{1}{3} \left[3\rho + 3p_{x} + 2\gamma \rho \right] \quad \text{mod} \quad \text{mod}$$

cosmic triad and arbitrary number of this EMT oriented in arbitrary directions on average, would also lead, stochastically, to conventional vacuum energy,

No correspondence from known anisotropic sources

(i.e. vector fields, topological defects)

scalar (canonical) field emulator



We can reconsider the cosmologies employing a canonical SF.

the deformed vacuum energy + the shear scalar

Defining the effective quantities,

$$w_{\rm eff} = \frac{p_{\rm eff}}{\rho_{\rm eff}} = \frac{\sigma^2/2 - \rho_{\rm dv}}{\sigma^2/2 + \rho_{\rm dv}}$$

Shear propagation equation -> continuity equation for the effective source defined from the cooperation of the deformed vacuum with the shear scalar $\dot{\rho}_{\text{eff}} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\text{eff}}(1+w_{\text{eff}}) = 0,$

the non-negativity condition on the density of the deformed vacuum energy- along with that the shear scalar is nonnegative definite guarantee that

$$\begin{split} w_{\rm eff} < -\frac{1}{3} & -1 \leq w_{\rm eff} \leq 1 \\ \sigma^2 < \rho_{\rm dv} & \text{the role of the flatness of the potential is taken over by} \\ & \text{the ratio-squared of the rate of change of the} \\ \epsilon \to \frac{\gamma^2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\rho}_{\rm dv}^2}{\rho_{\rm dv}^2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2}. \end{split}$$

you can construct the anisotropic counterpart cosmologies + a bonus

Canonical SF's

$$w_{\phi} = \frac{p_{\phi}}{\rho_{\phi}} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2/2 - V}{\dot{\phi}^2/2 + V}$$

KG-> continuity eq. for the SF

$$\dot{\rho_{\phi}} + 3\mathcal{H}\rho_{\phi}(1+w_{\phi}) = 0$$

no-go theorem forbids a single canonical SF with a non-negative potential to cross below the w=-1 boundary of the usual vacuum energy, viz., its EoS parameter is confined to the range

$$w_{\phi} < -\frac{1}{3} \qquad -1 \le w_{\phi} \le 1$$
$$\dot{\phi}^2 < V$$

slow roll parameter for the SF $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{V} \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}\phi})^2$ $\dot{\phi}^2 << V$

the

what you can construct cosmologically with SF,



see for some deviations : Madsen (1988) Pimentel (1989), Faraoni&Cote (2018) 1808.02427, Akarsu et al. (2020) 1903.06679

Conclusions

ODiscussion on the possible alleviation of H0 tension with dark energy models with negative energy density values in the past.

O Two minimal ways to achieve, let the curvature to vary

let the promotion of null inertial mass density of Λ , (simple gDE)

together or separately.

O We confirmed that fixing spatial flatness with assumption hidden possible deviations from ACDM.

- O Significant deviation from spatial flatness along with a simple-gDE of a positive inertial mass density which in opposition to each other imply no robust improvement in the H0 tension.
- OThere is the same evidence for the Λ CDM model and the DE model (simple-gDE) with a positive inertial mass density at the order of O (10⁻¹²) eV⁴, namely, ρ ci =(3.06±2.28)×10⁻³¹g/ cm³.

OEven the null inertial mass density is ruled out and $\rho > 0$ within 68% CL.

OEnergy-momentum squared gravity generates logarithmic correction keeping constant inertial mass density for each standard source with w,

AKARSU, BARROW &UZUN, EUR. PHYS. J. C 79 (2019) 846, 1903.11519.

for a comparative detailed analysis:

ACQUAVIVA &KATIRCI, PDU 38 101128 2203.01234.

• Can it be derived/predicted from a fundamental theory of physics?

OWe can construct anisotropic counterpart of scalar field cosmologies.