The halo mass function in clustering dark energy models as a tool versus the σ_8 tension

Francesco Pace

with D. Bertacca, Uni Padova

Università di Torino, Italy

1st Jun 2023, CosmoVerse, Anomalies & Tensions in Cosmology, Lisbon, Portugal

Main observables

- Two different sets of observables: late and early times
- Early times: CMB (linear physics, very well understood, precise measurements)
- Late times: clusters and galaxy clusters (non-linear physics, baryonic effects, many uncertainties)

CMB

Cosmological parameters

⇓

Very accurate theoretical model and predictions

⇓

Parameter constraints



Courtesy of https://www.cosmos.esa.int, Planck2018 results

Cosmology from clusters

- Largest gravitationally bound objects in the Universe
- Highly sensitive to cosmology
- Strong dependence on Ω_{m} and σ_8
- Look for them with SZ effect, X-ray emission, Optical
- Two key ingredients: mass and mass function (based on *N*-body simulations)
- Mass is tricky (scaling relations, bias, halo shape, . . .)
- Relatively high uncertainties with the mass function



Allen et al., 2011

Anomalies & Tensions

- H_0 with local measurements
- S_8 (σ_8) with cosmic shear data ←
- $A_{\rm lens}$
- $\Omega_{\rm K} \neq 0$



Heymans et al., 2021

The σ_8 tension

$S_8 = \sqrt{\Omega_m/0.3}$

- 3σ discrepancy between Planck and SZ number counts
- Confirmed by many other SZ experiments
- It amounts to a factor of two in the number counts of very massive objects
- $S_8 = 0.789 \pm 0.012$ vs $S_8 = 0.834 \pm 0.016$ (Clusters vs Planck)

Proposed solutions to the σ_8 tension

- Correlation between S_8 and H_0 $\rightarrow$ need to solve them both
- Early-time solutions
  - Axion monodromy
  - (New) Early dark energy
  - Vary $N_{\rm eff}$
  - Modified Recombination history
  - ...
- Late-time solutions
  - Bulk viscosity
  - Various dark energy models
  - Modified gravity models
  - **Clustering dark energy** $\leftarrow$

The halo mass function

- Number of halos per unit mass and volume at a given time
- Very sensitive to cosmology in the high-mass tail
- But there are strong uncertainties in its theoretical formulation
- Baryons usually neglected, but they are very important
- Its determination from observations is model dependent $\rightarrow$ we need local measurements
- Accurate mass determination is very important

Cosmology dependence on the halo mass function

ST HMF

$$\frac{dn}{dM} = -\sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{a}}{\pi}} A \left[1 + \left(\tilde{a}\nu^2\right)^{-p}\right] \frac{\bar{\rho}_{\rm m}}{M^2} \nu \frac{d\ln\sigma_M}{d\ln M} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nu^2\right)$$

Mass determination

$$M(R < 1.5\,{\rm Mpc}/h) \propto \kappa_\Delta T_X/(1+z)$$

$$\nu = \frac{\delta_{\rm c}}{D_+\sigma_8} \qquad \kappa_\Delta = \kappa_\Delta(\Delta_{\rm Vir}) \qquad p = 0.3\,, \quad q = 0.707$$

Are the ΛCDM HMF parameters not correct?



Gu et al., 2302.00780

Or is it just calibration?

$\Omega_m = 0.31$, $\sigma_8 = 0.81$ for Tinker 08





Abdullah et al., 2020

Clustering Dark Energy

- Dark energy can cluster at all scales
- Clustering dictated by the sound speed
- For fully clustering DE ($c_s^2 = 0$) $\delta_{de} = \frac{1+w_{de}}{1-3w_{de}}\delta_m$
- In this case, δ_{de} contributes substantially to the gravitational potential
- $\delta = \delta_m + \frac{\Omega_{de}}{\Omega_m}\delta_{de}$

The equations for $c_s^2 = 0$

Continuity equation

$$\delta'_{de} - 3w_{de}\delta_{de} + (1 + w_{de} + \delta_{de})\tilde{\theta} = 0$$

Euler equation

$$\tilde{\theta}' + \left(2 + \frac{H'}{H}\right)\tilde{\theta} + \frac{\tilde{\theta}^2}{3} + \frac{\nabla^2\Phi}{H^2} = 0$$

Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2\Phi = \frac{3}{2}H^2\left(\Omega_m\delta_m + \Omega_{de}\delta_{de}\right)$$

HMF for smooth DE models

Same σ_8 of ΛCDM



HMF for clustering DE models



Which mass?

- When dark energy clusters, the halo mass might need to be redefined
- Usually, $M_{\rm tot} = \rho_{\rm m} + \delta\rho_{\rm de}$
- $M_{\rm tot}$ is not constant in the perturbation formalism
- Defined in analogy to the ΛCDM model
- If the mass changes, also the mass function needs to be corrected
- A couple of corrections proposed

Corrected mass in the HMF



Corrected HMF



Creminelli et al. 2010

Is that all?

Fitting to a wrong theoretical model induces biases on the cosmological parameters



Reische, FP et al., 2016

Is that all?

Fitting to a wrong theoretical model induces biases on the cosmological parameters



Reische, FP et al., 2016

But at the end there might no be any tension



DES Y3 + KIDS-1000, 2305.17173

But at the end there might no be any tension



DES Y3 + KIDS-1000, 2305.17173

Conclusions and outlook

- The HMF is a very valuable cosmological tool
- It can shade light on dark energy and on tensions
- Still large error bars and theoretical uncertainties
- Care is required when used for cosmological predictions
- Need to compare and test theoretical predictions with future *N*-body simulations of clustering dark energy
- Code validation for the spherical collapse model